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Abstract
Groundwater samples along with leachate were collected randomly around the municipal solid waste dumping at Mandur village, Bangalore. All the collected samples were analysed for physico-chemical parameters like pH, hardness, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, alkalinity, calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium, chloride, nitrate, sulphate, phosphate using Standard APHA methods during April 2018 (pre-monsoon period). It is apparent from the results that 25.93, 33.34, 14.82 and 81.48 % of groundwater samples respectively recorded higher electrical conductivity (EC ≥ 1000 µS/cm), total dissolved solids (TDS ≥ 500 mg/L), total hardness (TH ≥ 600 mg/L) and nitrate (NO₃ ≥ 45 mg/L), attributed to an interaction between the leachate and the groundwater samples near the core zone. Remaining parameters were well below their respective drinking water quality standard limits. The Sodium absorption ratio (SAR), Residual sodium carbonate (RSC) and percent sodium values illustrated the suitability of groundwater samples for agricultural practices. Though cluster analysis specified some sort of interaction to exist between leachate and few groundwater samples, analytical outcome of groundwater quality were against such interaction. Average concentration for various parameters in groundwater samples were not much affected with leachate interaction. The unsuitability of groundwater for drinking purpose, removal of excess concentration and artificial recharge of groundwater by rainwater harvesting methods could reduce the groundwater pollution level.

Introduction
In recent past, groundwater has become a foremost source of fresh water in India as evidenced by 61% of net irrigation and 60% of the irrigated crop production by ground water in the country in addition to 80% of the rural, and 50% of the
urban and industrial requirements in India.\(^1\) Hence, the increased dependency on ground water and unobstructed withdrawal of ground water for irrigation purpose in arid and semi-arid regions in India has utterly curtailed the aquifers during the last four decades.\(^2\) On the other hand, the groundwater pollution occurs quite certainly due to the existence of a negligible and undesirable constituent, pollutant or toxin in the ground water, through various man-made activities such as on-site sanitation systems, effluent from wastewater treatment plants, dripping sewers, petrol filling stations, landfills, or from over-application of fertilizers in cultivation besides natural arsenic or fluoride. Landfills and/or open dumping yards were the common practice for MSW disposal all over the world\(^3\) because of the relatively low-cost involvement and low-technical requirement.\(^4\) According to a study\(^5\), total dumping rate across the globe was around 1.3 billion tonnes per annum and are projected to enhance to roughly 2.2 billion tonnes per annum by 2025. Additionally, a substantial quantity of around 30,000 and 50,000 tonnes of waste in a day respectively are reported to get generated in metro- and class 1 cities across India.

Alternatively, leachate originating gradually from these solid waste dumping sites and its surrounding area has a greater possibility and potential to contaminate groundwater \(^6\) - \(^14\) as they might have got loaded with organic and inorganic substances as their decomposition by-products.\(^15\), \(^16\) This has contributed to surface water contamination during rainy season followed by underground water contamination, majorly due to percolation of leachate resulting due to unscientific dumping in major cities/countries across the world.\(^17\), \(^18\) Municipal / commercial and industrial solid wastes comprising hazardous substances, could rise the health hazards deriving from the leachate and landfill gases\(^19\) to local users (with children being more susceptible) and to the natural environment\(^20\) as well as social well-being. As a consequence, the effect of leachate on the surface and ground water has risen in the attraction of several studies in recent years.\(^21\) - \(^26\) A exhaustive analysis is a pre-requisite to arrive at a sustainable and possible solution for mitigating the groundwater pollution due to solid waste disposal. This includes methods of waste disposal, characterization of leachate and groundwater quality, groundwater flow, lithological variation, and contaminant transport modelling, health and social issues of the people depending on the contaminated water, etc.\(^27\) If the leachate from Landfill sites are not systematically and scientifically collected, treated and securely disposed of, it may penetrate through soil reaching underground aquifers, acting as key sources of contamination for ground and surface water resources. This has claimed for stringent implementation of action plans for the protection of both surface and groundwater from getting contaminated with leachate from open dump/landfill site. So, the present study concentrated on the characterization of leachate from abandoned Mandur landfill sites, Bangalore East taluk, Bangalore district, Karnataka and its influence on the ground water quality.

**Study Area**

Mandur village being part of Bangalore East Tehsil of Bangalore urban district in Karnataka, India, situated 15km away from sub-district headquarter Bangalore East, as well as district, headquarter Bangalore. The total geographical area of Mandur village is 527.07 hectares and its geographical location is 13.0829° N and 77.7381° E. Mandur has a total population size of 2,295 of which 1170 are males while 1125 are females as per Population Census 2011. There are around 533 households in Mandur village. Mandur village has a higher literacy rate compared to Karnataka. In 2011, the literacy rate of Mandur village was 82.16 % compared to 75.36 % of Karnataka. In Mandur male literacy stands at 88.86 % while the female literacy rate at 75.23 %.

Mandur landfill site spread over 135 acres in Mandur village and garbage dumping was started during 2008 and dumping was stopped on 1\(^\text{st}\) December 2014 after the protest by the villagers and environmentalists during 2014. Overall, the dump site was active nearly for six years and during which it received dumping of 1,800 tonnes of garbage out of, \(~ 4,000\) tonnes of waste generated per day in Bangalore city alone.

The major crops under cultivation in the study include Paddy, Ragi, Jowar, Bajra, Maize and Wheat besides pulses like Gram and Tur, oilseed like sunflower and groundnut, varieties of fruits and vegetables. Majority of the rainfall is contributed by Sout-west
monsoon followed by North-east monsoon besides pre-monsoon showers. In general, district witness hot humid to semi-arid climatic conditions, with average temperature of ~ 23.1°C. Bangalore east taluk experienced an annual and seasonal normal rainfall of around 911mm. Red loamy and sandy soils are mainly seen in the Mandur village. Granitic and peninsular gneisses type of rocks underline major aquifers in the urban district. Ground water can be seen under phreatic / unconfined conditions and under semi-confined to confined conditions respectively in the weathered zone and fractured and jointed rock establishments; whose depth being more in the valley, which can range up to 30 m in the shallow wells. The occurrence of Groundwater movement and recharge to aquifers is mainly under the control of several factors like fracture pattern and their degree of weathering, geo-morphological setup and amount of rainfall received. As per CGWB (2012) report, the depth to water levels vary between 5-10 mbgl and 2-5 mbgl respectively for pre- and post-monsoon seasons for Bangalore East taluk.

Methodology
The Preliminary survey was made out to identify the sampling points around the landfill site area. Overall 27 ground water samples were collected randomly from the places such as Mandur, Hittarahalli, Thiramanahalli, Budigere gate, Bidarahalli, Gundur, Huskur, Shringurapura; which fall under Mandur gram panchayath during April 2018 (Fig 1). Standard APHA Methods were employed during the collecting, preserving and transporting the ground water and leachate samples to laboratory. The quality of leachate and ground water was evaluated by analyzing physical and chemical characteristics; with field parameters such as pH, total dissolved solids, electrical conductivity being recorded in the field using HACH HQ30d multiparameter kit. Sodium and potassium were determined by flame photometric method (Systronics-128 model). Parameters like total hardness, total alkalinity, Calcium, Magnesium, bicarbonate and Chloride were determined by titrimetric method. SO4, NO3 and PO4 were analysed by spectrophotometric method using Visible.
spectrophotometer (SL-171 model). Fluoride was analysed by SPADN's method using HACH DR/890 colorimeter. The details of standard methods employed for physico-chemical analysis of groundwater and leachate samples along with BIS permissible limit for drinking water and the analytical results are given in Table 1. Further, irrigational quality of groundwater was assessed by calculating parameters like SAR, percent sodium and RSC values. Statistical analysis like correlation and cluster analysis was performed using Minitab v15 software.

Results and Discussion
The analytical results of the ground water sample were presented in Table 1. Simultaneously, Correlation analysis was also performed to establish relationships between analysed parameters as variables (Table 2).

In the study area, the pH value ranged between 6.4 to 8.9, with a mean value of 7.5, while the pH of the leachate was found to be 8.4. The alkaline nature of leachate is an indicator of the mature stage of the dumping site. Four groundwater samples displayed pH above the BIS standard limit of 6.5-8.5 and one sample below the standard limit. In the present study, the pH is directly and strongly correlated with alkalinity, bicarbonate, and fluoride, illustrating that higher concentration of alkalinity and bicarbonate was the controlling factor for higher pH in the water sample. The $\text{HCO}_3^-$ is an alkaline buffering substance found in dentifrices, it indirectly affects the fluoride in the groundwater leading to the water turns to an alkaline condition.

Table 1: Analytical methods used, BIS standard limits and Descriptive statistics of analysed physico-chemical parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Analytical Methods used</th>
<th>BIS permissible limit for drinking water</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Groundwater samples</th>
<th>Leachate sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Electrode</td>
<td>6.5-8.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E C</td>
<td>Electrode</td>
<td>3000 $\mu$S/cm</td>
<td>$\mu$S/cm</td>
<td>853.7</td>
<td>430.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>Gravimetric</td>
<td>2000 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>546.37</td>
<td>275.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hardness</td>
<td>EDTA method</td>
<td>600 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>302.59</td>
<td>177.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Alkalinity</td>
<td>titrimetric method</td>
<td>600 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>137.41</td>
<td>72.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>EDTA titration and Calculation</td>
<td>200 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>EDTA titration and Calculation</td>
<td>75 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>Argentometric method</td>
<td>1000 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>109.65</td>
<td>70.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$</td>
<td>Phenol disulphonic acid method</td>
<td>45 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>61.79</td>
<td>26.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO$_3^-$</td>
<td>Calculation</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>167.64</td>
<td>87.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>SPANDS Method</td>
<td>1.5 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$</td>
<td>Flame photometry</td>
<td>200 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>50.05</td>
<td>79.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$^+$</td>
<td>Flame photometry</td>
<td>10 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO$_4^{3-}$</td>
<td>Stannous chloride method</td>
<td>0.3 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>Barium chloride</td>
<td>400 mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>45.86</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>Calculation</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent sodium</td>
<td>Calculation</td>
<td>&lt; 60</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>24.35</td>
<td>13.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSC</td>
<td>Calculation</td>
<td>2.51 epm</td>
<td>meq/L</td>
<td>-3.30</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Electrical conductivity in groundwater ranged from 290 to 1870 µS/cm (mean: 853.7 µS/cm) while it was 5160 µS/cm in the leachate sample. Seven groundwater samples showed higher electrical conductivity of over 1000 µS/cm. The high amount of the salt content present in the groundwater leads to an increase in the conductivity value. The Electrical conductivity is directly correlated with the TDS, Total hardness, Ca, Mg, Cl, Alkalinity, HCO$_3^-$, K$^+$, and SO$_4^{2-}$ (Table 2). The presence of the high inorganic ion in the groundwater lead to TDS values. The presence of the salts and the rock structure in the aquifer is the main source of the entry of all the inorganic salts and ions concentration in the groundwater.

Total hardness values (viz., 110 to 700 mg/L; mean: 302.6 mg/L) exceeded the BIS standard limit of 600 mg/L in four groundwater samples while that of leachate sample was around 1994 mg/L. Total hardness is directly correlated with the Ca, Mg, Alkalinity, HCO$_3^-$, K$^+$, PO$_4^{3-}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ (Table 2). The inorganic salts and cations concentration are the main sources of the hardness in the groundwater. Ca and Mg ions form the complex in the groundwater resulting in increases in the salt concentration in the ground water. The bicarbonate, K$^+$, SO$_4^{2-}$ are the predominant source of the hardness of the ground water.

Total Alkalinity value vary from 30 to 320 mg/L (mean: 137.4 mg/L) in groundwater samples against 1570 mg/L in the leachate sample. Alkalinity is correlated with Bicarbonates, K$^+$, PO$_4^{3-}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ (Table 2).
Alkalinity is mainly caused by carbonate, bicarbonate, and hydroxyl ions besides biodegradation processes of organic matter contributing to substantial amount of bicarbonate and intern alkalinity.

Calcium and magnesium concentrations respectively vary between 24.0 to 172.0 mg/L (mean: 71.8 mg/L) and 5.6 to 84.2 mg/L (mean: 30.0 mg/L). Leachate samples showed higher calcium and magnesium concentration of 464 and 203.5 mg/L respectively. Both Calcium and magnesium concentration is directly correlated with Alkalinity, HCO$_3^-$, Cl, PO$_4^{3-}$, K$^+$, and SO$_4^{2-}$ (Table 2). Sodium and potassium concentration ranged between 14.0 to 441.5 mg/L (mean: 50.1 mg/L) and 0.6 to 5.8 mg/L (mean: 2.3 mg/L) against high sodium (viz., 213.96 mg/L) and potassium (viz., 220.62 mg/L) in leachate sample. Sodium is directly correlated with the SAR and % sodium in the water. The Sodium absorption ration and sodium percentage are a degree the relative proportion of sodium ion hazard in irrigation water, as the sodium ions tend to get rapted by clay particles, dislodging Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ ions in them, finally shrinking soil permeability along with insufficient inner drainage.

Concentration of Chloride and bicarbonate respectively ranged from 30.6 to 265.4 mg/L (mean: 109.7 mg/L) and 36.6 to 390.4 mg/L (mean: 167.6 mg/L). In the leachate sample, these parameters witnessed a very high concentration of 1570 and 1915.4 mg/L respectively. Chloride is directly correlated with K$^+$ and SO$_4^{2-}$. Fluoride levels ranged from BDL to 0.3 mg/L (mean: 0.1 mg/L) while phosphate level was 0.3 to 1.5 mg/L (mean: 0.7 mg/L). Leachate sample was rich in phosphate levels of 297.21 mg/L and sulphate level of 226.51 mg/L. In contrast, nitrate concentration ranged from 5.1 to 84.3 mg/L (mean: 61.8 mg/L), with 22 samples witnessing higher nitrate value above 45 mg/L. Leachate sample too showed higher nitrate value of 106.45 mg/L. Sulphate concentration ranged from 4.9 to 105.4 mg/L (mean: 45.9 mg/L) in groundwater samples.

Cluster Analysis
All the parameters analysed for groundwater and leachate samples were fed into statistical software to construct a Dendrogram. The cluster analysis yielded three clusters as shown in Figure 2, with samples having a similar source of origin grouped under the same cluster.

In Cluster 1 with highest percentage of samples (viz., 66.67 %), calcium (mean value: 106 mg/l) and sodium (mean value: 22.4 mg/l) were dominant cations and, bicarbonates (mean value: 122 mg/l) and chloride (mean value: 30.62 mg/l) being dominant anions. Mean Nitrate concentration in this cluster was found to be 79.84 mg/L while the mean total hardness value was 332.8 mg/L. Alternately, the mean value of EC and TDS values for this cluster were found to be 966.7 µS/cm and 618.7 mg/L.

In Cluster 2 with second-highest percentage of samples (viz., 29.63 % excluding leachate sample), calcium (mean value: 52.3 mg/l) and sodium (mean value: 29.5 mg/l) were dominant cations and, bicarbonates (mean value: 215 mg/l) and chloride (mean value: 63.8 mg/l) among dominant anions. Mean nitrate concentration in this cluster was found to be 49.8 mg/L while the mean total hardness value was 235.0 mg/L. Alternately, the mean value of EC and TDS values for this cluster were found to be 655.0 µS/cm and 419.2 mg/L.

Cluster 3 with only one sample (3.70 %) followed a similar trend for dominant cations and anions was observed. Calcium (mean value: 106.0 mg/l) and sodium (mean value: 22.74 mg/l) were dominant cations and, bicarbonates (mean value: 122 mg/l) and chloride (mean value: 30.62 mg/l) being dominant anions. Mean Nitrate concentration in this cluster was found to be 79.84 mg/L while the mean total hardness value was 300 mg/L. Alternately, the mean value of EC and TDS values for this cluster were found to be 410 µS/cm and 262.4 mg/L.

It is apparent from the Dendrogram and ionic pie charts (Fig 2) that samples under cluster 1 were considered to have deteriorated groundwater quality compared to that samples under clusters 2 and 3. Despite Dendrogram showing a relationship between groundwater samples under cluster 2 with leachate sample, groundwater quality in these samples was not much affected as revealed by their analytical results, illustrating no known impact of leachate on the groundwater quality.
Fig. 2: Dendrogram showing the distribution of ionic concentrations among clusters of samples

Fig. 3: Distribution of SAR and RSC values in groundwater samples
Groundwater suitability

The irrigational suitability of groundwater was evaluated by calculating sodium absorption ratio (SAR), Percent sodium and residual sodium carbonate (RSC). The results are tabulated in Table 1. The SAR value of all the samples was found to be less than 10\(^3\) and are classified as excellent for irrigation (Fig 3) except for the sample, S25. RSC values also exhibited the suitability of ground water samples for irrigation as these values were lesser than 1.25 meq/L (Fig 3). Further, the distribution of ground water samples based on sodium percentage is shown in Fig 4 and all the samples fall under safe class based on Eaton’s classification\(^3\), except for sample S25.

![Fig. 4: Distribution of Percent sodium values in groundwater samples](image)

Conclusion

The analytical results of groundwater samples of Mandur village indicated that some of the samples were not fit for drinking due to presence of higher concentration of some parameters (viz., pH, Electrical Conductivity, TH, TDS and NO\(_3\)) above the BIS prescribed permissible limits.\(^3\) It was also observed that the nitrate levels in the majority of the groundwater samples were slightly higher than the permissible limit of 45 mg/L, possibly an indication of the impact of leachate percolation. But, the groundwater can be used for agricultural practices as revealed by irrigational quality parameters like SAR, RSC and percent sodium. To overcome the problem of the unsuitability of groundwater for drinking purpose, artificial recharging of groundwater by rainwater harvesting methods could reduce the pollution level of groundwater in the surrounding areas. Further, statistical analysis like cluster analysis indicated that there exists interaction between leachate and few ground water samples in the study area. Despite this, water quality of the analysed groundwater samples was not much affected as revealed by the comparison of mean concentration for various parameters in relation with leachate sample. Regular monitoring of the groundwater should be encouraged to avoid possible consumption of contaminated foodstuff and drinking water. It is also suggested for removal of excess concentrations before using for drinking purpose to overcome the water scarcity in the area.
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